

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

IAN A. WOODS, Plaintiff, vs. R. QUINTANA, Defendant.) Case No. 2:13-cv-01314-APG-CWH
vs.) **ORDER**
R. QUINTANA,)
Defendant.)

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff Ian A. Woods's Motion to Extend Copy Work Limit (ECF No. 36). Plaintiff requests that the Court extend his copy work account limit by \$50.00. According to Plaintiff, good cause exists to extend his copy work limit because he has exceeded his prison copy limit of \$100.00 and the additional \$50.00 is necessary to make copies of motions that he is required to serve on the Defendant in this case. Plaintiff, who is proceeding *in forma pauperis*, further argues he cannot afford to pay for copies.


Under NDOC Administrative Regulation 722.01(7), copies of legal documents may be made for inmates for a nominal fee. Inmates “can only accrue a maximum of \$100 debt for copy work expenses for all cases, not per case.” NDOC Regulation 722.01(7)(D). However, a court may “order a prison to provide limited photocopying when it is necessary for an inmate to provide copies to the court and other parties.” *Allen v. Clark Cnty. Det. Ctr.*, 2:10-CV-00857-RLH-GWF, 2011 WL 886343, *2 (D. Nev. Mar. 11, 2011).

Here, the Court finds that there is good cause to extend Plaintiff's copy work limit, but will order that the limit only be extended by \$10.00 at this time. If Plaintiff exhausts the \$10.00 and requires additional funds to serve the Defendant in this case, Plaintiff may file a motion requesting an additional extension of his copy work limit.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Copy Work Limit (ECF
2 No. 36) is GRANTED in the amount of \$10.00. The Nevada Department of Corrections must
3 extend Plaintiff's prison copy work limit by another \$10.00.

4

5 DATED: September 3, 2015.

6 
7 **C.W. Hoffman, Jr.**
8 **United States Magistrate Judge**

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28